Department of State

(262)

T.M.

34	CON	FIDENTIAL	Y		
Action	6.3	Control:		1/ 10/	
EUR nfo	FROM: Zagreb	DEPARTMENT OF S	November STACE 364/ADS		2/9/S
	TO: Secretary of State	REVIEWED BY 151	, LECLASSIFY) DECLASSIFY		
SS G SP L	NO: 236, November 13, 9 P) Non-respons		
SAL ARA IO DAC P IOP INR RMR	ACTION DEPARTMENT 236, INFO	() Classify 20_ RMATIONDBFURK & E.	TSTATAJEVS	บ ฟล์ยฟิย์โ	OADR
	It is most regrettable, from long range point of view, that U.S. felt it had to act unilaterally in Cuban crisis he well understood President Kennedy's stand when he confronted with Soviet missiles in Caribbean. Were he in Kennedy's shoes he might well have acted same way even though U.S. action set "a bad precedent" in dealing with difficult problems. raised question our missiles in Turkey and Italy and I explained to him U.S. position on subject in accordance Department Circular 736 of October 23. readily conceded that differences between NATO basis in Turkey and				
	Italy and Soviet missiles in stated that he as we at loss to understand Soviet	ell as nis colleag	gues are s	omewhat	· ·
		said that Yugoslav	regime h	ad alwa	

at loss to understand Soviet motivation in putting offensive missiles in Cuba. said that Yugoslav regime had always felt that Castro was "overly provocative" and Tito "warned or intended warn Khrushchev" against being trapped by Castro's "unconstructive approach". What finally happened in Kremlin, "I do not pretend to know". It is possible of course, continued, that Khrushchev acted "pressure some military of other elements in Polit-Buro". On other hand, "Khrushchev, knowing him as I do, while being a firm believer in detente, might have had momentary lapse not anticipating Kennedy's firm response." Be that as it may, added, Khrushchev shoull be given credit for making full retreat. Retreat, mused, would seem indicate

/ Khrushchev

CONFIDENTIAL July B

RLPRODUCTION FROM THIS COTY IS PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

CONFIDENTIAL

-2- 236, November 13, 9 P. M. from Zagreb.

Khrushchev in firm control Kremlin and that this action in Cuba probably result 'personal lapse'.

by the way, at no time mentioned Yugoslav officialdom's view that our basic objection to Castro is ideological rather than strategic. On contrary, he felt U.S. response quite understandable, even though regrectable, in light Khrushchev's action.

KENNAN

mbk

;;